## **UNCLASSIFIED**

The Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D.C. 20505

Resource Management Staff

DCI/RM 80-1958

1		
	24 September 1980	
TATINTL	MEMORANDUM FOR: Contracting Officer	
TATINTL	FROM:	
TATINTL	Chairman,	
	SUBJECT: Contractor Performance Evaluation (U)	
TATINTL	1 have for the past year on a study conducted on behalf of the Director Central Intelligence. Because of the security clearances in	
TATINTL	neither with substances of the study. Both quickly grasped the technical involved and their performances were truly outstanding. (U	l details
TATINTL	served as his company's project manager a directly supervised the other members of the contracting staskill in working from a general statement of a problem, in the available data, and in synthesizing the results of that enabled the team to move quickly and efficiently through its sub-tasks. His ability to raise penetrating questions, to complex alternatives, and to identify weaknesses in proposed methodologies were especially helpful. His written work and briefings gave concise expression to logical sequences of its added significantly to the clarity of the study team's present this critiques of other team members' work were insightful a constructive. He planned his company's contribution to the efforts efficiently, frequently coming up with innovated ap to various aspects of the problem. I came to respect and rehis judgment. Our task would have been immeasurably more d without him. (U)	aff. His analyzing analysis many formulated doral deas and entation. nd always team's proaches ely upon

## UNCLASSIFIED

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

SUBJECT: Contractor Performance Evaluation (U) was asked to develop a methodology for evaluating a number of alternatives considered by the team. twenty years in the computer business have I met a more highly skilled programmer, but talents go far beyond this. His analytical precision in defining each step, in constructing options, and in estimating the costs, benefits, and negative implications of the alternatives resulted in a high level of confidence being placed in the work of the team. His success in developing a cost model as part of the evaluation methodology resulted in untold savings in dollars and man-months of effort. He designed and wrote an executive summary of the team's report that received praise from senior level executives for its ability to convey a vast amount of complicated information in a concise, easily ability to work independently, understood manner. analyze complex problems, and propose a variety of possible solutions made him a uniquely valuable member of the team. (U) were rapidly able to adopt an approach to the analysis that was appropriate to the kinds of program and budget decisions that the study was designed to support. Indeed, their performance and that of their company could well be used as a model of effective contractor/government relations. STATINTL 

## UNCLASSIFIED

SUBJECT: Contractor Performance Evaluation

STATINTL

Orig-OD&E 1-IRO 1-PAO

1-PAO Subject 1-PAO Chrono

1-RM Registry (NON-JOURNAL ITEM)

STATINTL

DCI/RM/PAO: 1sg (x-1274--9/24/80)